

**To:** Rodrigo Jurado[rjurado@pgei.com]; Mike Hackney[mhackney@pgei.com]  
**Cc:** Yersak, Thomas[Yersak.Thomas@epa.gov]  
**From:** Suchomel, Bruce  
**Sent:** Wed 11/25/2015 6:13:39 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Petroglyph Well Questions

Thanks Rodrigo, that helps. We know what action we will take with updating our files, so thanks for sharing what your records show.

Bruce Suchomel

**From:** Rodrigo Jurado [mailto:rjurado@pgei.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2015 10:39 AM  
**To:** Mike Hackney; Suchomel, Bruce  
**Subject:** RE: Petroglyph Well Questions

Good Morning Mike and Bruce,

I looked into the issue mentioned below but was not able to find a clear answer.

- Ute Tribal 18-13, API 43-013-31784 was issued permit # UT 20736-04392 back in 1997
- Ute Tribal 18-12, API 43-013-31783 was issued permit # UT 20736-06680 back in 2006.
- Ute Tribal 18-13A & Ute Tribal 18-13B do not exist in our records or in the state's records.

Permit number UT 20736-04411 is not in our list of permit numbers, but I did find it in EPA's Courtesy Reminder to Submit Annual Reports letter.

That letter shows UT 20736-04411 corresponds to Ute Tribal 18-13 and has a status of WD (Withdrawn, Denied, Expired, Terminated). Earlier in the same document the Ute Tribal 18-13 is listed as active with the correct permit numbers, shown above.

I think someone issued two permits for the same well and put the A or B after the well name, or some other mix up. That is what I was able to find, I hope it helps. Have a good day, let me know if you have any other questions.

Thanks,

Rodrigo Jurado

Petroglyph Operating Company, Inc.

Regulatory Compliance Specialist

P.O. BOX 607

Roosevelt, UT 84066

OFFICE: (435) 722-5302

MOBILE: (435) 609-3239

FAX: (435) 722-9145

**From:** Mike Hackney

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2015 2:24 PM

**To:** Suchomel, Bruce <[Suchomel.Bruce@epa.gov](mailto:Suchomel.Bruce@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** Rodrigo Jurado <[rjurado@pgei.com](mailto:rjurado@pgei.com)>

**Subject:** Re: Petroglyph Well Questions

We do not have 18-13a or 18-13b.

We do have 18-12 and 18-13.

I don't have a quick way to look up permits numbers, but maybe Rodrigo can help you

Mike

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 24, 2015, at 1:39 PM, "Suchomel, Bruce" <[Suchomel.Bruce@epa.gov](mailto:Suchomel.Bruce@epa.gov)> wrote:

Mike/Jessie,

We are undergoing a permit-file revision process, and Tom, our key person involved with this project, noticed a couple of inconsistencies in our files. We thought we'd check with you to see if we can get the inconsistencies resolved:

1. Does Petroglyph have one well named Ute Tribal 18-13, or are there two separate wells named 18-13a and 18-13b?
2. Our permit files have the following (Permit Number/Well Number):

UT20736-06680, file is for the Ute Tribal 18-12 well.

UT20736-04411, file is for the Ute Tribal 18-13a well, but includes the 18-12 well information.

UT20736-04392, file is for the Ute Tribal 18-13b well.

Our database shows the 18-13a and 18-13b wells having the same API number (43-013-31784) and footage calls.

Can you help us clarify these discrepancies? Thanks – we are interested in knowing what you show as the permit/well number connections.

Please “reply to all” as Tom is copied on this.

Bruce Suchomel  
UIC Program - Environmental Engineer/Project Manager  
USEPA Region 8 (P-W-UIC)  
1595 Wynkoop St.  
Denver, CO 80202-1129  
303-312-6001

---

The contents of this e-mail and any attachments are intended solely for the use of the named addressee(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized use, copying, disclosure, or distribution of the contents of this e-mail or attachments is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of the communication and any attachments.